UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,365	03/31/2004	Omar Habib Khan	24207-10084	7766	
62296 GOOGLE / FE	62296 7590 10/22/2007 GOOGLE / FENWICK			EXAMINER	
SILICON VALLEY CENTER			DAYE, CHELCIE L		
801 CALIFORNIA ST. MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2161		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

KHAN ET AL. 10/814,365 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2161 Chelcie Daye All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Robin Reasoner. (1) Chelcie Daye. (2) Sana Al-Hashemi. (4)_____ Date of Interview: 17 October 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Malik and Bharat. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. SUPERVISORY PATENTEXAMINER

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant began the interview by giving a brief summary of the claimed invention. Next, a discussion about the last limitation within the claim (i.e., creating an implicit search query based at least in part on the pluralityof named entities) was discussed. The examiners pointed out the vagueness of the claim limitation and the possible confusion for which it presents. For example, applicant's need to further elaborate on what they mean by an implicit search query and where/how the query is derived. Also, the statement "based at least in part on", was deemed unclear. Lastly, the examiners did not see where the invention was being done on a computer, because based on the present claim language, it is not necessary for the invention to be used with a computer.